

In light of the Maqasid Methodology which aspires to provide an authentic Islamic framework, the next chapter discusses the bigger picture of a re-classification of disciplines, toward an Islamic Studies that is most suitable for building *fiqh*, in the comprehensive sense of the word *fiqh*.

## CHAPTER 6

# Re-envisioning Islamic Studies via the Maqasid Methodology

### THE NEED FOR A NEW CLASSIFICATION OF DISCIPLINES IN ISLAMIC STUDIES

The purpose of this chapter is to demonstrate how the new Maqasid Methodology can contribute to a re-envisioning/re-structuring/re-constructing of the Islamic scholarship in all fields of knowledge. The outcome of this reconstruction involves much needed changes in: (1) research, (2) education and (3) action. Under each of these three areas, dozens of projects must be undertaken to shift our thought and application toward greater conformity with divine objectives and the Islamic worldview. All three areas overlap and interact in the form of webs of meaning, research projects and organizations in their joint drive to explore, evaluate and employ relevant dimensions of Revelational guidance.

To build on all of the conclusions we reached in this book so far, it is imperative to embrace the wholistic and connective nature of the Islamic worldview, especially with the higher objectives at the core of its emerging logic. Realising *maqasid* scholarship via the new Maqasid Methodology is intended to develop new theories of knowledge, logic and conceptualisations. Accordingly, the concept of scholar (*mujahtid*) must be expanded to include every individual or party that

seeks to apply this methodology to their respective area of expertise in order to achieve the divine objectives in all realms of life. The outcome of this *jihad* could be legal/ethical rulings or edicts (*fatwa*), if required. However, the bulk of the required outcomes are beyond the legal/ethical realm and shall provide strategies and projects for research, education and action in all areas of human endeavour.

Therefore, Strategic Studies must take a prominent place within disciplines in order to envision this future. Moreover, the door of Islamic Studies must remain open to anyone in any field of knowledge/discipline who seeks in earnest to pursue an integrative and re-constructing approach to their disciplines. In parallel, studying phenomena in a multi- or trans-disciplinary approach must be available within disciplines. And in addition to these fields, the study of fundamentals (*usus*) has to develop in order to cover the needs for basic research and to renew and integrate the disciplines, classical and new.

Achieving the purposes described above requires a contemporary re-classification of disciplines. Both Islamic and secular classifications, classical and contemporary, are not compatible with the needs of our times. For the sake of explaining this incompatibility, some of the most influential classical and contemporary classifications of knowledge/disciplines, from both the Islamic and secular perspectives, are outlined below.

### CLASSICAL CLASSIFICATIONS OF DISCIPLINES - GREEK AND ISLAMIC

The Aristotelian classification of knowledge is considered the most prominent classical influence. Muslim scholars who classified disciplines were impacted by Aristotle, albeit in different forms. Two streams could be identified, namely, classifications based on a modified Aristotelian approach, such as Al-Farabi's (d. 339H/950CE) and Ibn Sina's (d. 428H/1037CE), and classifications based on new non-Aristotelian approaches, such as Ibn Hazm's (d. 456H/1064CE) and Ibn Khaldun's (d. 808H/1406CE).<sup>100</sup> Today, western academic disciplinisation is most prominent, and it has impacted the definition of disciplines within disciplines as well, as explained below when a

typical division of colleges/disciplines in today's major Islamic universities is considered. A critical or detailed discussion of the above classifications is beyond the scope of this book.<sup>101</sup> The point is that none of the above categories of classifications is compatible with the disciplinisation needs for a contemporary Islamic scholarship, as re-envisioned according to the Maqasid Methodology, hence the need to propose an alternative classification.

Aristotle's classification of knowledge had a strong influence over many classical and contemporary classifications of disciplines/sciences, Islamic and non-Islamic, until today. His main categories were theoretical, productive and practical sciences.<sup>102</sup> Theoretical sciences are "knowledge for its own sake", in his words, which included metaphysics, mathematical sciences and natural sciences. This is the category that some Muslim philosophers, such as Al-Farabi and Ibn Sina, adopted while interpreting 'metaphysics' to mean theological or Godly sciences (*ilm ilah*). Productive sciences aim at the creation of a product through craftsmanship. This is also a category that Muslim philosophers such as Al-Farabi adopted, who included in it the Islamic philosophy of religion (*kalam*), and Al-Ghazali, who included in it logic as a 'tool' science (*ilm aalat*).<sup>103</sup> Aristotle's practical sciences covered the knowledge of action, which included ethics, judgement, politics and arts. This category impacted classical Islamic classifications as well, including Al-Farabi's.

Al-Farabi categorised sciences into: (1) science of language (*ilm al-lisan*), (2) logic (*ilm al-mantiq*), which was divided similar to Aristotle's books on logic, (3) mathematical or propaedeutic sciences (*ulum al-ta'allim*), including arithmetic, theory of numbers, practical science of numbers, geometry, optics, stars, music, weights, ingenious devices, (4) natural science (*al-ilm al-tab'i*), (5) metaphysics or theology (*al-ilm al-ilah*), (6) practical sciences, including civil science (*al-ilm al-madar*), jurisprudence (*ilm al-fiqh*), and Islamic philosophy of religion (*ilm al-kalam*).<sup>104</sup> The impact of Aristotle's classification is obvious, and a few 'Islamic' categories were added such as *fiqh* and *kalam*. Ibn Sina's classification of sciences is even closer to Aristotle's and he did not even include *fiqh* or *kalam* in his classification of knowledge. He

strictly followed the theoretical-practical classification, and included under them: nature, arithmetic and theology; and ethics, governance of the household, and civil politics - respectively. "Knowledge for its own sake", however, is not Islamic. There is a web of objectives that is tied to knowledge (*ilm*) in the Islamic worldview - as explained earlier. In all cases, it is obvious that the above two Islamic classifications, and many others similar to them,<sup>105</sup> are not relevant to the desired renewal of classification of disciplines today.

Ibn Hazm and Ibn Khaldun, amongst others, introduced classifications of disciplines that were significantly different from Aristotle's and the rest of the peripatetics (*masha'ir*) and those who were influenced by them from the Asharites and Mutazilites. However, Ibn Hazm and Ibn Khaldun were influenced by the dichotomous logic of the Greeks in general, including the theoretical-practical and physical-metaphysical categories. They also did not consider *fiqh* nor *Shari'ah* to be related to the sciences that they considered "common amongst nations", in the words of Ibn Hazm, or "not specific to any faith", in the words of Ibn Khaldun. However, Ibn Hazm included in this latter category philosophical sciences, whereas Ibn Khaldun included metaphysics. They both included in this 'neutral' category: medicine and engineering (mathematical shapes). As mentioned earlier, the Islamic worldview based on the revelation does not consider any science to be neutral, valueless or unrelated to faith - philosophy, metaphysics, medicine, and engineering included.

Ibn Hazm also divided sciences into useful (*raf'i*), in which he included *Shari'ah*, language, *fiqh* and history, and detested (*madhmum*), in which he included chemistry, magic and astrology. Ibn Khaldun divided knowledge into rational (*aqili*), in which he included chemistry, magic, geometry, and music, and transferred (*naqli*), in which he included exegesis, hadith, *fiqh*, *kalam*, sufism, and the Arabic language. It is interesting how 'chemistry' and 'magic' were considered one and the same at that time.

Chemistry is now a standard science, but the concept of magic (*sihr*) (2:102, 7:116, 10:81, 15:14-15, 20:66, 28:48) does require critique and re-definition, since some scholars simply included in it any

unexplained phenomenon or invention, such as chemical reactions according to Ibn Hazm, and even telephones and bicycles according to the jurists of Arabia a century ago.<sup>106</sup>

The impact of Ibn Hazm and Ibn Khaldun's categorisations, especially the categories of useful (*raf'i*) versus detested (*madhmum*), and rational (*aqili*) versus transferred (*naqli*), has been everlasting. However, it is also obvious, given the comprehensive scope of the Maqasid Methodology, that both of these classifications of disciplines, and similar classical classifications cannot meet the needs of the desired contemporary *ijtihad*.

## CONTEMPORARY CLASSIFICATIONS OF DISCIPLINES - SECULAR AND ISLAMIC

Currently, the western academic classification of disciplines is prevalent worldwide. The dominant classification is: (1) Humanities, which typically includes: arts, history, languages, literature, law, philosophy, and theology; (2) Social Sciences, which typically includes: anthropology, economics, geography, politics, psychology, and sociology; (3) Natural Sciences, which typically includes: biology, chemistry, earth science, astronomy, physics, and (4) Applied Sciences, which typically includes: business, engineering, health, computer science, and perhaps mathematics.

From the perspective of Islamic Studies that the Maqasid Methodology aims to support, the above classification does not put 'theology' - in this case Islam - in the right place as the fundamental basis of all sciences. Moreover, the integrated nature of knowledge in Islam requires systematic ways of combining disciplines and not treating them as silos, especially across the four categories, i.e. humanities, social, natural and applied sciences, which is typically inadmissible and would discredit scholars and scholarship. Finally, and most significantly, many of the basic premises of the above sciences require critique from the Islamic point of view and therefore have to be part of a bigger picture of the classification of disciplines.

Islamic Studies today, on the other hand, is divided into three broad classifications, which we can term: (1) historical Islamic

studies, (2) contemporary Islamic thought and (3) Islamic Studies in secular academia.

Under (1) historical disciplines, students specialise primarily in the history of one of the inherited Islamic branches of knowledge, such as exegesis (*tafsir*), narrations (*hadith*), jurisprudence (*fiqh*), philosophy (*falsafah/kalam*), history (*tarikh*), *Shariah*-based governance (*siyasa shariyah*), etc. Students study the fundamentals (*usul*) associated with each of these disciplines as the methodology or approach to it.

Under (2) contemporary Islamic thought, students learn about an Islamic approach to a modern academic discipline, such as finance, psychology, art, law, education, or architecture. The approach is usually historical, while attempting to answer current questions in these disciplines based on the current literature in Islamic thought. There is a growing reference to *Maqasid Al-Shariah* in these programmes, albeit generally manifesting the limitations mentioned earlier.

(3) Islamic Studies in secular academia is a spectrum of programmes that range from theology, religious studies and philosophy to political science, history and social studies. A few of these studies are still following the old orientalist approach, i.e. studying Islam's original texts with a pre-assumption of their 'biblical origins' and within the colonialist purposes of the old orientalist school.<sup>107</sup> Some of these studies moved from orientalism to what we can call a 'neo-orientalism' approach, in which Islam is defined via its social, political or historical manifestations and studied through one of the typical secular social science approaches. The general purpose also moved from a colonialist agenda to a neo- or post-colonialist agenda.<sup>108</sup> However, over the past decade, we have observed a growing number of "confessional" projects for Islamic Studies within secular academia, east and west, in which professors and students are searching for an 'Islamic approach' that is both genuine and commensurate with the complexity and demands of today's questions and challenges. Islamic 'law' is offered as an alternative in this search for an Islamic approach, although there is a general awareness of the insufficiency of the Islamic classical schools of jurisprudence to answer today's questions in all disciplines. Thus, it is our hope that the *Maqasid*

Methodology proposed in this book would offer an alternative approach to academic Disciplinary Studies from an Islamic perspective. Generally speaking, contemporary Islamic Studies experience a number of methodological drawbacks. The most significant are the following three:

(1) There is a general lack in studying the original sources of Islam, i.e. the Quran and Sunnah themselves, in all of these contemporary trends. The majority of attention is given to what scholars have said – past and present – while attention to the Quran and Sunnah is virtually subordinated except when these scholars make occasional references. A cursory look at what a student of jurisprudence studies today in a 'Shariah College', for example, reveals the limited portion that Revelation forms in their studies. To study the Revelation means to study the Quran and Sunnah directly, not what scholars have said about it. Even when students are required to memorise parts of the Quran and Sunnah, they are rarely taught to use what they memorise as criteria for evaluation of what they study. A similar problem manifests in all other branches and projects of Islamic studies.

(2) Contemporary Islamic thought is largely apologetic for methodologies, outcomes, and organisations of modern academia. As such, it lacks critique of the boundaries of modern disciplines that are adopted as they manifest in western institutions. Yet, the ideological and philosophical foundations of these disciplines and the organisations that house them, stem from a reality and worldview that contradicts with Islam in some aspects. For example, Islamic economics emerges from the same philosophies, theories, and organisations of western economics, and does not seriously challenge neoliberal capitalism, which is the current trend, but rather on the whole, attempts to accommodate it. Likewise, Islamic political theory is by and large a product of the philosophy, theory and institutions of modern western academia. The original contribution of

Islamic political thought is still nascent and Islamic methodologies have been incapable of participating in critical discussions and offering real alternatives.

(3) The pedagogic division of disciplines into Islamic and non-Islamic reinforces the secular ideology in the Muslim mind and society more broadly. It is a division that diminishes the domain and function of Islam - as a *din* - from its all-encompassing concept in the Revelation as applicable to more than theology, spirituality and ethics. The average person will then live their entire life based on the philosophies, definitions and organisations that define the world by materialistic measures. Some Muslims even apologise for this serious methodological flaw by arguing that Islam is a rational religion that encourages 'pure' and 'factual' sciences and that the worldly sciences are value neutral, which is obviously a view that lacks sufficient analysis.

#### A PROPOSED PRELIMINARY CLASSIFICATION

Based on all of the above, the following classification of disciplines under disciplines is proposed:

**Usulī Studies** – include studies of the foundational and fundamental theories (*usul*) of the disciplines related to the Revelation, with less emphasis on the history of those who wrote in these disciplines and more focus on the Revelation itself and the knowledge it directly offers. The primary objective of this field is the reconstruction of the traditional Islamic methodologies in a way that builds on their legacies yet is able to provide a foundational (*usulī*) basis for the contemporary proposed studies.

**Disciplinary Studies** – involve the rectification of contemporary disciplines and sciences as they are classified in today's academic and educational systems. This does not mean rejecting this knowledge or denying the major contributions that they offered humanity since modernity. Nor does it mean to apologise for any of these disciplines'

theoretical premises or practical applications that contradict any element of the Islamic framework. The primary objective is to allow the development of trans-disciplinary approaches that integrate knowledge in education, research and action.

**Phenomena Studies** – involve the creation of an independent Islamic research and educational system that benefits from prior contributions that conform to the Islamic framework/worldview. The general method here is to direct students to specialise in a major phenomenon and thereby to study all of its dimensions based on the Islamic framework. Collaboration with other researchers in webs that focus on the study of phenomena is encouraged to realise changes on the ground, which is the primary objective of these studies.

**Strategic Studies** – involve thought and action aimed at improving the future, despite a full acknowledgment that the future is in the Knowledge of Allah. Understanding the past and present using the Maqasid Methodology is the key to envisioning a better future. The primary objective of these studies is to propose collective, complex and multi-faceted interventions in order to affect the universal laws of change on various organisational, *umman* and humanity levels.




CHART 8 PROPOSED CLASSIFICATION FOR CONTEMPORARY ISLAMIC STUDIES

### USULI STUDIES

*Usuli* (Foundational/Fundamental) Studies are the methodological and theoretical backbone of the other three branch (*furu*) domains, namely: disciplinary, phenomena and strategic studies. These four domains are overlapping by definition, and the scholar of the fundamentals could engage with the other branch (*furu*) fields given sufficient knowledge. In doing so, this division aims at resolving the problematic secular approach, which was uncritically accepted by Islamic scholarship, thereby limiting Islamic jurisprudence (*fiqh*) to the area of rituals and a few other areas of dealings such as family law, finance, and some ethical contributions in medicine, food, etc. The following four areas are suggested as primary sub-areas under *Usuli* Studies, namely, Fundamentals of Exegesis (*usul al-tafsir*), Fundamentals of Hadith (*usul al-hadith*), Islamic Philosophy of Religion (*ilm al-kalam*), and Fundamentals of Jurisprudence (*usul al-fiqh*).




CHART 9 AN EXAMPLE OF THE INTERSECTION AND INTERACTION AMONGST CONTEMPORARY USULI STUDIES.

### Fundamentals of Exegesis (*usul al-tafsir*)

A restructuring of the fundamentals of exegesis (*usul al-tafsir*) aims at building the wider web of Revelational meanings through continuous Cycles of Reflection in the Quran and Sunnah. Moreover, the fundamentals of exegesis (*tafsir*) must be intricately intertwined with those of the fundamentals of *hadith (usul ul-hadith)* based on the methodological relationship between the Quran and Sunnah. The proposed Maqasid Methodology unifies and integrates the rich and long heritage of exegesis (*tafsir*) including the *tafsir* of the Prophetic narrations and those related by the Companions in relation to them. It also extends to linguistic (*lughaw*), juridical (*fiqh*), mystical (*sufi*), philosophical (*kalam*), thematic (*mawdu'i*), pattern (*nazm*), rhetorical (*bayani*), scientific (*ilmi*) exegeses. The *maqasidi* exegesis looks for the correspondence of meanings and web patterns in the Revela-

tion that shape a vision for epistemological, ontological and logical foundations of contemporary disciplines, as well as an awareness of past, present and future realities. The fundamentals of this *maqasid* exegesis and research is aimed at enriching the methodological foundations of all other studies.

### Fundamentals of Hadith (*usul al-hadith*)

The fundamentals of *hadith* (*usul ul-hadith*) build on and widen the lengthy and deep heritage with its inherited methodologies. One of the most pressing challenges related to these fundamentals is the classification of *hadith* into the old chapters (*abwab*) of the Islamic jurisprudence or under the names of the narrators sorted in alphabetical order. Both types are not conducive to linking *hadith* with the Quranic themes or the utilisation of *hadith* in Disciplinary, Phenomena or Strategic Studies - especially with researchers who do not have experience with the classical collections of *hadith*. Some of those researchers end up dropping the Sunnah from their primary sources, which is a methodological error. Therefore, contemporary researchers in the theories of *hadith* should attempt to restructure the classical classification into new classifications that links the narrations to their fundamental expressions and meanings as expressed in the Quran and to the other applied areas of disciplines. The connected nature of the webs of meanings of the Quran and Sunnah will then give rise to new chapter classifications (*abwab*). These chapters will facilitate research and teaching in the different studies that the new Maqasid Methodology gives rise to.

On the other hand, fundamentals of *hadith* studies should revive and renew critical *hadith* studies. Critique of *hadith* has been stagnant - methodologically speaking - over the past several centuries and has not witnessed renewed ideas (*tajdid*), especially from the side of the narrators and their historical backgrounds, given the topic of the *hadith* they narrate. Special attention should be given to the possibility of political and social biases within their respective generation. Despite the companions' precedents, there has been a dearth of critique of *hadith* content (*matn*) in accordance with Quranic content,

whether in affirmation or rejection.<sup>109</sup> Challenges must be levelled in three thematic areas in particular: (1) the relationship between Islam and political authority, (2) the relationship between Islam and women, and (3) the relationship between Islam and the Israeli scriptures.

Acknowledging that *hadith* science is a 'specialisation' that requires specific training, should not be confused with creating a 'hadith silo', in which *hadith* is isolated from both the Quran and *fiqh* in the wider sense, in the name of specialisation. Narrow silos are not Quranic and ultimately serve a secular worldview. And if *hadith*, as a source of knowledge, is going to be integrated with the Quran and applied to all *fiqh*, then some revisions have to take place. The concern that these revisions might be influenced by modern culture or modernist values is a legitimate concern. However, the solution is not to stagnate the judgements about *hadith* and end up with narrations, especially in the three areas mentioned above, that flatly contradict with the Quranic ideals, or clearly paint a negative image about the Prophet ﷺ. The reference here, again, is the Islamic framework that the Revelation clearly confirms, not any other reference or culture, social or legal, old or new.

It is a historical fact that political circumstances were an important and determining factor regarding the acceptability of narrators and narrations. Narrations were rendered weak, and sometimes the narrators themselves rendered weak, purely on the basis of content or implication vis-à-vis the political struggles of the time. The classification of narrators in different generations (*tabaqat*) did include, in addition to their qualities, a consideration of the historical contexts in which they lived and narrated but was far from being politically neutral.

For one example, mentioned briefly without its many related details,<sup>110</sup> consider when Al-Hasan Al-Basri omitted Imam Ali Ibn Abi Talib ؑ as the source of some of his narrations and related them instead directly to the Prophet ﷺ. He did that because of the tyranny of Al-Hajjaj, the Ummayyad governor of Iraq at the time. It is authentically narrated that Al-Hasan Al-Basri told his student Yunus Ibn Ubaid: "Everything I say directly that the Prophet ﷺ said is at the authority of Ali Ibn Abi Talib, but I am in an era where I cannot mention Ali's name,

may Allah be pleased with him".<sup>111</sup>

However, narrations by Al-Hasan Al-Basri with this kind of omission were considered "mursal" (i.e. missing the companion-narrator) and therefore "weak" (*daif*) since their chain of narrators was disconnected between Al-Hasan Al-Basri and the Prophet ﷺ. Scholars of *hadith* debated this issue in the past, and some of them, such as Muhammad Ibn Sirin, Ahmad, Al-Tirmidhi, Al-Darqutni, Al-Bayhaqi, Al-Ishbili, Al-Dhahabi, Al-Hraqi and Ibn Saad, did not accept these *mursal* narrations from Al-Hasan Al-Basri, while other scholars did, such as Hammad Ibn Salamah, Al-Qattan, Ibn Al-Madini, Abu Zurah, Ibn Rajab, and Ibn Abdul-Barr. Yet, other scholars looked for extra supporting evidences.<sup>112</sup> Despite the extensive debates over these details from dozens of Scholars of *Hadith*, past and present, I have not come across any approach that considered both the political context and the content of the narration as a factor in the analysis.<sup>113</sup>

Some contemporary scholars accuse this approach of being "too obsessed with politics" and prefer to protect the traditional methodology over the Quranic truths, which they render 'general' (*amah*) or 'subject to interpretations' (*hammalat wujuh*). However, if we are to link historical studies with hadith studies, in light of the Quranic framework on governance (*hukm*) and the web of related meanings, we will realise how much the power struggles of the time have impacted *hadith*. Thousands, especially companions of the Prophet ﷺ and two generations of their students, have been killed in the internal Muslim conflicts between 36 and 136 Hijri, i.e. between the Battle of the Camel and the Abbasid full control. The seminal collections of *hadith* appeared over the century following that century, and have been certainly impacted by all the events that the narrators went through and the stances they took. Today, scholars who are involved in public affairs attest to the complex relationship between political authority and what they have to say or write. Giving detailed examples and analysis is beyond the scope of this book, but the above aims to open up these methodological issues for discussion and perhaps make some long-overdue corrections in some narrations, especially related to the three thematic

areas mentioned above: Islam and politics, the status of women in Islam,<sup>114</sup> and the Israelite narrations.<sup>115</sup>

### Islamic Philosophy of Religion (*ilm al-kalam*)

There is a dire need as well for a contemporary discourse in the Islamic philosophy of religion/dialectic theology (*ilm al-kalam*) that is built on a wholistic methodology to deal with contemporary questions. The questions of today are very different from the questions that the scholars of *kalam* over the centuries have addressed and were divided over. Today, the debates should revolve around modernity, atheism, secularism, liberalism, moral relativism, deconstructionism, nihilism, evolutionism and other ideologies, especially in the pervasive forms that they have taken in educational curricula, legal systems, culture, customs, international institutions, sports and games and other fields that shape people's perspectives. Islamic philosophy of religion (*kalam*) today must move beyond the old divisions, stop classifying Muslims based on questions and personalities, who lived more than a thousand years ago, and work collectively in research webs to have new responses to these questions. These divisions do not contribute constructively to today's concerns and have been used by all conflicting political forces today in order to classify rivals as 'sects' that are outside the circle of "al-Jama'ah" (the truthful party). Moreover, divisions in the old schools prevent the full use of the historical knowledge, which is important in the sense of being the history of *kalam* rather than *kalam* itself. Similarly, the history of the fundamentals of jurisprudence (*usul al-fiqh*) is different from *usul ul-fiqh* itself, which are theories that are subject to renewal with the renewal of *fiqh*.

### Fundamentals of Jurisprudence (*usul al-fiqh*)

The fundamental theories of *fiqh* that are proposed by the Maqasid Methodology do not contradict with the fundamentals of jurisprudence (*usul al-fiqh*) as historically defined. However, the traditional fundamentals of jurisprudence constitute one part of the conceptual framework, proofs, and inductive tools for judging juridical rulings, especially in the area of the Islamic fixed ritual (*shara'i*) rulings. Oth-



er parts involve the rest of the composite framework and formative theories from which a much wider set of rulings could be concluded. In addition, the *fiqh* outcome of the Maqasid Methodology is not only rulings but various judgements of benefits and harms in various forms, as explained in Chapter 4. In other words, the Maqasid Methodology has a wider scope, and the traditional fundamentals of jurisprudence represent a special case. Although a detailed discussion of the classical schools of *usul al-fiqh* is beyond the scope of this book, the following are some basic differences between their fundamental theories and the Maqasid Methodology.

First, no Islamic fundamental theory can differ over the Book of Allah and the Sunnah of His Messenger ﷺ as the basic reference (*marji*) and fundamental source (*masdar asli*) for jurisprudence (*tashri*). This applies to all classical and new schools of *usul al-fiqh* as well as to the Maqasid Methodology. Moreover, it is important to distinguish between the Quran and Sunnah as sources (*masadir*) and the fundamental juridical proofs (*adillah fiqhiyah*). Juridical proofs are not primary sources and are not definite (*qati*) in their own right. They are rather theories that were and are still subject to diverse opinions on their very definitions and validity, unlike the Quran and Sunnah.

Across various classical schools of jurisprudence, traditional fundamental evidences (*adillah*) for inferring new rulings included: consensus (*jima*), analogy (*qiyas*), interests (*istihah*), juridical preference (*istihsan*), blocking the means (*sadd al-thara'*), a companion's opinion (*rai al-sahab*), customs of Medinans (*amal ahli al-madinah*), customs (*urf, adat*), way of predecessors (*shar man qablana*), and presumption of continuity (*istishab al-asl*).

A number of other theories are included in traditional fundamental theories, such as: degrees of accountability rulings (*darajaat al-hukm al-taklifi*), declaratory rulings (*hukm wadi*), capacity (*ahliyah*), and abrogation (*naskh*).<sup>116</sup> According to the Maqasid Methodology, all of the above theories have their places in the larger web of Revelational meanings as they intersect with the concepts, objectives, values, commands, universal laws, groups and proofs. They also intersect with the formative theories and principles that emerge from

studying various phenomena from a legislative/judicial perspective. Two examples are discussed briefly for the sake of illustration: consensus and analogy.

*In the Maqasid Methodology, consensus (jima) is a tool for collective jihad rather than an authority in its own right, let alone a "source of absolute knowledge".*<sup>117</sup> The authority of the concept in the Revelation is from the general evidences of unity of the *ummah* and the calls for collective study and reflection upon the Quran (2:176, 3:79, 3:103-105, 6:105, 34:44). There is additional support for the concept of *jima* in the hadith, "my community (*ummati*) will never have consensus (*tajamiu*) over falsehood (*dhalalah*)" (Ahmad 27267, Tabarani 2171, Haithami 7/224).<sup>118</sup> This is similar to the "consensus of the *ummah*" theory of *jima*,<sup>119</sup> rather than the other dozens of theories that did not have Revelational proof.<sup>120</sup> The popular evidence for consensus citing 4:115 (to follow the road/way of the believers), is obviously not a conclusive evidence for any of these theories. In addition, *jima* should be developed today as a form of collective *jihad* through the networks of research that are suggested at the end of this chapter.

Analogy (*qiyas*), for a second example, is a proof that has evidence that could be inferred from the Revelation. Typical evidences from the classical schools of jurisprudence such as, "People of vision, learn from this lesson" (59:2), the *hadith* of the *jihad* of Moadh Ibn Jabal mentioned earlier (Abu Daud 3592), and when the Prophet ﷺ told the woman who asked him about performing *Hajj* on behalf of her deceased mother that it is similar/analogous to paying a debt on behalf of her mother (Bukhari 1953).

However, based on the Maqasid Methodology, the Greek logic at the heart of formal *qiyas* is only a special case and does not count for all possible forms of analogy that the Revelation teaches within its "proofs". Typical classical analogy has four components: (1) primary situation (*asl*), (2) secondary situation (*faza*), (3) cause/reason (*illah*), and (4) the ruling (*hukm*). Analogy is carried out between two situations/cases: the ruling of the primary situation has been previously decided, while the ruling of the secondary situation is unknown. *Qiyas* entails that if there is a common cause (*illah*) between the two

situations, then, by analogy, the ruling in the first situation applies to the second. Jurists who agreed to *qiyas* also agreed upon four conditions for a valid cause/*illah*, namely, (1) *al-zuhur* (visibility; the ability to conceive the cause), (2) *al-ta'addi* (extension; the ability to extend the cause to other situations), (3) *al-i'tibar* (validity; lack of an invalidating statement in the scripts), and (4) *al-in'ibat* (exactness, not to change significantly with changing circumstances).<sup>21</sup> The two basic critiques of the above criteria based on the Maqasid Methodology, are (1) their lack of flexibility with changing circumstances and (2) their linear logic within the process of analogy itself.

(1) As for inflexibility, exactness (*in'dibat*) of the *illah* means that a "ratio legis" behind a ruling should be almost the same across various circumstances, which is not realistic and not "exact". In the typical example of breaking the fast for the *illah* of "sickness" (*marad*, 2: 184-185), the *illah* is not "exact" and not compatible with the nature of the concept (*marad*) in the Quran and Sunnah, which is a web of various forms of hardships, symptoms and conditions rather than an exact definition (2:61, 2:196, 48:17, Bukhari 1616, 5354, 5656, 5687, 7055, etc. Also refer to Chart 11).

(2) As for the linearity of the logic behind the four components (primary situation, secondary situation, cause, ruling), reality is often more complex to analyse than these abstractions. There could very well be multiple primary and secondary situations, multiple causes and multiple rulings involved in the logic of an analogy process. Thus, the linear classical analogy is correct and valid, but it is a special case of the web-based logic within the wider definition of analogy under the Maqasid Methodology. The above two brief examples, consensus and analogy, are given for explaining the basic idea of the inclusion of all the classical proofs of *usul al-fiqh* (juridical fundamental theories) within the Maqasid Methodology proofs.

Finally, according to the Maqasid Methodology, it is methodologically incorrect to issue particular rules (*ahkam*) of lawfulness and unlawfulness before establishing universal formative theories and guiding principles (*kulliyat*, *nazariyat*, *mabadi hakimah*), upon which the detailed rulings and decisions are based.

*Establishing formative theories, before concluding detailed rulings, provides an indispensable understanding of Revelation in its totality and reality in its complexity, and hence avoids partialism, apologetism, and contradiction.* This method allows for the development of more accurate and comprehensive juridical rulings (*ahkam*) concerning obligation (*wajibi*), lawfulness (*hala*) and unlawfulness (*haram*), and the levels in between. The multi-dimensionality of the Quranic proofs, as explained earlier, deals with such categories as a range. For the levels of juridical ruling, the most negative of the range is the major sins (*ka-bair*) (2:219, 4:2, 8:73, 17:31, 18:49, 53:32) and the most positive are the pillars (*arkan*) or foundations (*usul*) (14:24, Bukhari 8, Muslim 16).

However, the way to arrive to the rulings according to the Maqasid Methodology is not to "deduce practical rulings from detailed evidences" (*istinbat al-ahkam al-amaliyah min adillattha al-tafsiyyah*). All classical schools of jurisprudence proceed from a detailed evidence, one verse or *hadith* usually, directly to the juridical ruling (*hukm*). Rather, the jurist in the Maqasid Methodology should proceed from (1) the purpose, to (2) the Cycles of Reflection upon the Quran and Sunnah related to the purpose, to (3) building the Seven Elements framework (concepts, objectives, values, commands, universal laws, groups and proofs), to (4) critical studies of classical and current literature and the reality/phenomena on the ground, until they finally arrive at the (5) formative theories and principles.

It is the formative theories and principles that will govern and guide the issuance of a comprehensive, composite and balanced juridical rulings or *fatwa* in order to address the issues at hand. It is to be noted, however, that arriving at formative theories and guiding principles is not a novel idea,<sup>22</sup> even though it is far from mainstream in current *fiqh* studies and far from the systemisation that the Maqasid Methodology proposes.

Finally, the classification of the *Usuli* Studies as presented in this section (*tafsir*, *hadith*, *kalam*, *fiqh*) does not exclude the inclusion of other classical Islamic *Usuli* Studies in the same field of studies. They are all overlapping circles, of equal fundamental/theoretical importance, and each of the *Usuli* Studies must benefit from the outcomes

of the others. These sciences play a foundational role for the other branch studies that are considered branch studies (*furū*), whether Disciplinary, Phenomena or Strategic Studies.

## DISCIPLINARY STUDIES

The ultimate goal of disciplinary *jihad* as a branch of disciplines is to re-orient modern academia and professional specialisations according to the Islamic worldview. These fields of knowledge shape professional careers in scholarship, education and the labour market more generally, and a reorientation of disciplines involves filtering out un-Islamic elements from their fundamental theories, practical implications and institutional manifestations. 'Un-Islamic' here is judged by being in contradiction with the concepts, objectives, values, commands, universal laws, groups and proofs. Part of this effort entails reconsideration of disciplinary boundaries that tend to unjustifiably limit the educational curricula, research agendas and hence the intellectual reach of different disciplines. This, in turn, is reflected in a reality that does not account for the truly connected and holistic nature of earthly life.

In addition to potentially unsuitable boundaries, contemporary disciplines are mostly based on and influenced by their materialist and economic foundations. The objectives of knowledge, education, research and action in the Islamic worldview are higher than the mere materialist and economic needs. While some disciplines have recently opened up to more human- or nature-centred possibilities, the dominance of materialistic and economic thinking remains the main shaper of contemporary human knowledge production. Disciplines are not normally concerned with the human welfare as an ultimate objective, let alone the higher objectives of worshipping Allah, establishing justice/balance and rectifying earth. There continues to be little appetite for the serious incorporation of revealed knowledge, dubbed 'religious' or 'metaphysical', throughout academia. In the name of avoiding normativity, today's natural and social sciences abide by the (normative) boundaries of materialistic thinking and sometime grand narratives that do not have definite proof. This new branch of disciplines aims to change that approach, rather than apologise for it.

Disciplinary critique starts by assessing the theoretical foundations of a discipline in relation to the objectives of the Revelation both as textually expressed and inferred. The objectives of the discipline must not contradict those of the Revelation to start with. The researcher might therefore ask what is the objective of medicine? Or economics? Or history? Or education? Or anthropology? Or art? A review of the mission, purpose and basic questions of every discipline is intended to introduce the *maqasid* of the Revelation for that discipline or field of study into its fundamental theory. Thereafter, the details of the discipline can be assessed in relation to these objectives and potentially brought in conformance with them.

All disciplines express foundational concepts and concerns even when there are differences of opinion regarding exact definitions. These concepts must be compared to those of the Revelation. *The idea is to develop a language and conceptual framework that does not contradict Islamic concepts. The rethinking of the foundational conceptual frameworks is itself an exercise in reconstruction, since concepts are the building blocks of describing reality on all levels.* The concept of human (*insan*), for example, has major implications in the sciences of medicine, psychology, policy, economics, anthropology, sociology, history, etc. And also concepts of earth, religion, wealth, rule, knowledge, material, mind, family, state and so on. All of these have specific conceptual meanings in the Revelation and have important implications for the different disciplines once they are redefined and reoriented toward the Islamic worldview.

This same critical assessment journey is undertaken regarding the parties/actors in the theories of the discipline. It is not possible to separate the concepts from the groups that represent them, as previously discussed. There is a significant methodological difference between understanding parties in society by their truthful qualities and designations, such as corrupters, rectifiers, scholars, fools, traders, poor, rich, rulers, leaders, hypocrites, etc., versus human-defined descriptors such as interest parties, labour, businessmen, consumers, elite, civil servants, celebrities, media, academia, NGOs, terrorists, etc., without assessing the true and complex nature of the latter par-

ties and what they represent in terms of the former parties.

Any discipline will also have certain meta-theories and higher-level processes associated with it. From the Islamic point of view, however, disciplinary productions must account for and yield to applicable universal laws. Universal laws offer a framework through which the disciplines' general rules and grand theories can be assessed. These form the basis of disciplines and offer explanatory power of relevant phenomena.

Likewise, the values resulting from the application of the methodology offer a moral yardstick against which the values that are internal to each discipline must be measured. The Revelation offers complete perspectives on utility, virtue and beauty, as discussed earlier. Accordingly, the utility/benefit related to any discipline cannot defy any definitive command in the Revelation nor cause harm, all in accordance with the *maqasid* criteria. On the other hand, human original disposition (*fithrah*) could guide humans to virtue. However, the definition of virtue must be divinely guided in order to avoid falling into moral relativism.<sup>123</sup> Similarly, beauty - the aesthetic dimension - cannot be correctly defined outside of a sound framework as well. Islam has its own set of values that define beauty and ugliness, as described earlier.

Finally, the positive and negative commands in the Revelation must reign over the normative rules of any discipline. That is how the composite framework that the researcher develops interacts with the disciplinary framework that they are dealing with.

*For the purposes of the Maqasid Methodology, all disciplines form parts of the complementary webs of knowledge.* The Maqasid Methodology connects the disciplines on a number of levels and in relation to important dimensions as is evident in the elements of the composite framework, and the formative theories and principles to which they give rise. These elements connect disciplinary ideas and aid in their integration, helping to overcome the narrow disciplinary biases at the basic and applied levels, which have been transformed into ideologies that hinder attempts to critique and re-postulate the basic premises of these disciplines. The Maqasid Methodology aims to empower Disciplinary Studies to be more critical of the economic,

cultural and political biases that shape their funding, curriculum development, education standards, accreditation, and faculty and student recruitment policies in all fields and at all levels.

As the Islamic Disciplinary Studies aim to enter a multi-disciplinary phase, the methodological shortcomings of current multi-disciplinary studies must be avoided. These include the combination of only two or a maximum of three disciplines, even though a holistic approach to any current complex question or phenomenon should remain open to all disciplinary backgrounds that are relevant to the purpose. That is why the Maqasid Methodology deals with disciplines as an interconnected web (Chart 10), and also connects it to the web of Revelational elements. Also, from a non-secular Islamic view, religion is not a discipline: it is a way of life and a worldview that shapes research and education in all disciplines.

The main qualifications of the disciplinary scholar as it is with all kinds of *jihad* (scholarship) demands skills and knowledge that conform to the nature and purpose of the inquiry. If the goal of research is to reconstruct the disciplinary foundations and boundaries, then the scholar must necessarily have the intellectual mastery of the subject both methodologically and philosophically. An extensive experience with the Quran and related Sunnah is another requirement for this level of disciplinary discourse. It is also necessary at this level to have studied and understood the streams and schools within their respective discipline and to accept and recognise the contribution that other disciplines necessarily make to its primary inquiries. The highest level of *jihad* in the disciplinary sciences is in close alignment with the *jihad* in the *Usul* Studies, and while depending on them, also contributes to their development.

If the research purpose is more limited, i.e. partial re-structuring or re-orientation, the qualifications of the researcher change accordingly. The research in these cases may relate to a specific question or concept within a discipline in order to write a research paper or thesis, institutional plan, critical piece on a specific professional practice, or an attempt to guide a specific application. At this level, the researcher may rely on available scholarship, especially the Quran and Sunnah survey related to their inquiries, and fellow members of



CHART 10 WEB OF CONTEMPORARY DISCIPLINES

the wider Maqasid Research Web. If the researcher is well-versed in the Arabic language, they may approach the Revelation directly as part of their research. However, their research will need to be reviewed by those who are working at more advanced levels, as part of a collective *jihad* process. For those who are not proficient in Arabic, they can still approach the texts directly through translations/interpretations in their mother tongue, however, they will require added scrutiny with regards to the accuracy of their understanding of the Arabic concepts in the Revelation that they will use in their research. While studies into the application side of the methodology do not demand the same level of expertise and intellectual rigour as those seeking to address fundamentals, they still require a reasonable level of expertise in the discipline as well as in the Maqasid Methodology, and an ability to think critically and outside the box.

The branches of traditional Islamic disciplines are also included in the reconstruction of Disciplinary Studies. The objective is to expand their respective boundaries so that they may interact with the webs of other disciplines as suggested in this methodology. It is important to challenge the rigid boundaries between Islamic disciplines, including the current boundaries between 'Islamic' and 'non-Islamic' sciences, so that their knowledge bases can be more comprehensive. This is also necessary to overcome the monopoly of their fundamental theories and their outcomes by the Islamic academic industry and the power that some governments and those offering grants have over it. Independent endowments are ideal to support the required academic integrity.

It is not acceptable that a contemporary jurist knows only the fundamentals and branches of one classical school or the other but then addresses issues for which he has limited experience. Moreover, as mentioned earlier, it is not satisfactory that specialists who do not come from an Islamic methodology occasionally introject bits of knowledge into this approach to support the jurists' efforts.


Similarly, a contemporary expert of *hadith* (*ruhadith*) must be capable of linking their *hadith* research with the Quran, history, jurisprudence, philosophy, linguistics, as well as studies related to lived real-

ities relevant to his/her research purpose. The ability to critique must be considered an integral part of academic integrity. The sciences of *hadith* as a specialisation cannot withstand separation from other disciplinary sciences or the webs of other studies, and Islamic scholarship in its most comprehensive sense. This argument extends to all other traditional Islamic disciplines.

It is worth mentioning that seeking the truth should be the guiding principle in all of these disciplinary and multi-disciplinary studies. It is not acceptable for a scholar of any discipline to be biased toward a specific ideology and thereby to view all other intellectual contributions of limited use or to consider the Islamic and other sciences only to justify their ideological biases. Truthful research cannot adopt a narrow disciplinary lens for the sake of disciplinary and its foundations that are set by national and international interest parties. To adopt the Maqasid Methodology necessarily means to accept the truthful and legitimate contributions of other scholarship that bears on one's inquiry and to widen one's lens, irrespective of the academic boundaries that have been superficially erected as a result of historical, political and economic processes.

## PHENOMENA STUDIES

Phenomena-based research and education is not new. It has been adopted by a number of universities and schools around the world today, albeit few.<sup>124</sup> The Quran and the Sunnah dealt with reality in terms of its interrelated, complex and multi-dimensional phenomena rather than the silos of specialisations. Based on the educational methodology of the Revelation and lessons learned from other phenomena-based research and educational experiences, the Maqasid Methodology proposes this new branch of Islamic Studies. The proposal is to develop new research agendas and curricula that integrate the Seven Elements Islamic framework with Phenomena Studies. In light of this, the researcher of disciplines may choose to examine part of a wide web of interrelated phenomena that forms a targeted field of study. This process starts with a general survey of major global phenomena and then



**CHART 11** A PRELIMINARY SURVEY THROUGH THE CYCLES OF REFLECTION UPON THE QURAN AND SUNNAH WHILE RESEARCHING THE BASIC ELEMENTS LINKED TO MEDICINE, PROJECTED ONTO A MIND MAP THAT REPRESENTS THE SEVEN ELEMENT FRAMEWORK

focuses on one or more of those of interests like poverty, environmental degradation, technology, language, among others.

Through the study of the Quran and *hadith* related to these phenomena, the researcher will be grounded in various levels of sciences, language, history, physics, mathematics, etc. He or she will build their worldview through the elements of study that the Maqasid Methodology suggests as they apply it to phenomena, both natural and social. Then, they would be able to build a composite framework. The methodological steps will lead to formative theories and principles that guide thought and action by integrating a number of theoretical and applied studies designed with sensitivity to the level of education in question.

There is no denying that this phenomena-based approach to education demands certain qualifications on the part of the teacher and the design of curricula, schools, universities, textbooks, labs and expeditionary trips at all levels. This will require preliminary research, planning and implementation on the side of educational institutes. Ideally, this project will only work in contexts where businesses and the broader economic system is cooperative, and there is sufficient political will and resources to support such change, especially through *awqaf* (endowments) that guarantee its integrity and independence. If this happens, the study of phenomena may offer an ideal way to overcome many of the intellectual and methodological challenges that currently characterise Islamic education. It requires visionary advocates and courageous Islamic leadership.

*The Revelation does not deal with reality as disciplines or via specialisations. It deals with reality as phenomena both as it is and as it ought to be.* It therefore aims to continuously improve virtue through faith, truthfulness, sound vision, and good works. It also guides us to consider issues in their wholistic form, and not in fragments that may upset the general balance of divine design. The following are suggested examples of new specialisations that can be identified based on contemporary phenomena:

(1) poverty and social justice; (2) earth and environment; (3) peace and governance; (4) *halal* industries; (5) civilisation and culture; (6)

innovation and technology; (7) studies in global regions; and (8) languages, especially Arabic. These are specialisations that can be embedded at all levels in the Islamic education system. At the level of graduate studies, prerequisites must be added in two other fields: the foundational studies of the Revelation and critical studies of modern disciplines. Students could then enter a phase of carrying out research in the web of Phenomena Studies that is by nature trans-disciplinary. Strategic Studies should help guide the development of this field in order to contribute to the noble strategic objectives of the *ummah* and humanity at large.

The phenomena-based approach will also have a particularly direct impact on the renewal of *fatwa* institutions. Most *fatwas* are simple questions that require simple and direct answers based on one or a few of the Islamic commands. Issuing a *fatwa* in this sense is a form of education and advice. However, when it comes to complex issues and questions that address contemporary phenomena like poverty, environmental degradation, wars, genetic engineering, social media, artificial intelligence, intellectual property, cybersecurity, among other complex issues — answers cannot be simple, fragmented or partial. These issues need to be dealt with comprehensively even if people do not solicit advice about them or perceive them not to be related to the realm of lawful (*halal*) and forbidden (*haram*). Experts, especially coming from a secular educational system, cannot frame or explain these issues in brief sessions that preclude scrutiny as to their methodology and the worldview they emerged from. The only solution is for Islamic scholars themselves to develop the capacity to address these complex issues in a multi- or trans-disciplinary way. This is the legacy of the major scholars of Islam of the past.<sup>125</sup>

The reconstruction of the *fatwa* councils accordingly is necessary for their continued relevance in the world today and to develop their positive contribution to the understanding and resolution of important issues. The core issues manifested in new phenomena are associated with *Shariah* rulings that are known and stable, with no disagreement like the prohibition of murder, usury, harming people, usurping their rights and properties, or as associated with obligations like helping





CHART 12 WEB OF CONTEMPORARY (NEGATIVE) PHENOMENA

the oppressed, collective decision making, feeding the hungry, helping the refugee, etc. The Maqasid Methodology necessarily brings these aspects of *Shariah* to the fore, enhancing the comprehensiveness of *fatwa* councils and guiding wholistic approaches in studying complex phenomena.

As for the priorities of studying contemporary phenomena, they will be set in different contexts based on the impact of the phenomena on the achievement of the divine objectives in the lived reality. As a general prioritisation of the phenomena that require more attention on the level of humanity at large and the level of the *ummah*, reference should be to the most central objectives in the Islamic framework. Then, phenomena that are directly related, positively or negatively, to these objectives should have priority in research, educational and organisational plans everywhere.

The five most central objectives related to humanity are: worshipping Allah (*ibadat Allah*), saving lives (*haya al-nufus*), dignifying the human (*takrim al-insan*), establishing equity (*iqamat al-qist*), and rectifying earth (*islah al-ard*). On the other hand, the five most central objectives related to the *ummah* are: witnessing over humankind (*al-shahadah ala-nas*), reflecting upon the signs (*tadabbur al-ayat*), unity of the *ummah* (*wihdat al-ummah*), aiding the oppressed (*inusrat al-mazlum*), and enjoining good and forbidding evil (*al-amr bil-maruf wal-nahi an al-munkar*).

The above mentioned ten priority objectives have many overlaps and connections and are tied to all other objectives in the wider Islamic framework. It is essential to assess contemporary reality on the grand level according to the achievement of these objectives or the lack thereof, and to strategise on all levels to come closer to realising them on all levels.

## STRATEGIC STUDIES

Strategic Studies are proposed as another addition to the disciplines of Islamic Studies that are long overdue. It is perhaps the most complex application of the Maqasid Methodology, because the output of such studies must simultaneously consider and play

out on a vertical spectrum of levels, while presenting understandings of horizontal collaborations on each of those levels to achieve the long-term changes. These changes are necessary to shift organisations, associations and communities toward better futures, i.e., those that are in greater conformance with Revelational meanings/higher objectives of the Islamic worldview.

Despite its complexity, we can deduce two important levels for reconstructing future visions and plans. The first is related to research and studies that detail grand visions and plans. These studies will necessarily draw on outputs of *Usuli* Studies, Disciplinary Studies and Phenomena Studies detailed above, and also serve to guide them. By focusing on the Muslim *ummah* and the directions in which it must move to envision a better future for itself and humanity more broadly, Strategic Studies are tasked with a complex envisioning exercise.

The second area of Strategic Studies operates on the micro and mezzo levels by focusing on the strategies of individuals, organisations, groups and governments either in one or multiple fields. It is these actors who can ultimately realise the future vision and plans enumerated by the first set of studies.

One of the primary skills that the strategic scholar should develop is how to deal with complexity. The reality is not 'chaotic' in any random sense, but rather in a sense that is webbed and interconnected according to divine universal laws, as discussed at the beginning of this book. Thus, a strategic scholar requires a web logic in order to develop a complex approach in analysing lived reality. The outcome of the initial analysis should be a complex web of meanings that he/she reaches based on the Cycles of Reflection. Cycles of Reflection are different under strategic studies because the Quran and Sunnah are read with a strategic lens and hence a unique framework should emerge.

*Maqasid* in this strategic framework would be the dimension related to organisational objectives on all levels, and evaluating success based on achieving them is a critical, forward-looking, wholistic, and pragmatic process. Thus, a re-orientation of organisational vision, mission, objectives and structures, or an alternative conceptualisation of organisational strategy, would follow, in order to come closer

to achieving the wider objectives on the level of the *ummah*.

Concepts in the strategic framework represent the language by which reality is described on all levels. Today, there are so many new concepts that have become an integral part in describing reality and strategy, such as: soft power, butterfly effect, chaos theory, systems, governance, civil activism, social media, information overflow, parallel history, scenarios, partnerships, and so on. A strategic scholar has to comprehend and re-define such terms from the Islamic perspective. In addition, exploring and explaining familiar terms and narratives from the Revelation are necessary in order to understand deeper truths about current realities. In doing so, the researcher will have to go beyond historical and cultural biases and deviations to examine the full spectrum of knowledge that Revelational concepts offer while adopting realistic and objective-oriented cognitions of them.

When devising strategies or performing visioning exercises, the definition of parties must be tied to the definition of concepts. As is the case with concepts, there are many party names that emerged in our current reality and have become integral parts of describing it, such as: the one percent, interest parties, stakeholders, partners, sponsors, terrorists, Islamophobes, diplomats, activists, philanthropists, etc. *Strategic scholars must go beyond all biased definitions of these terms and have the courage to re-define parties based on the revealed meanings, whether in the same names or coining new names if necessary.*

Understanding history is an integral part of understanding reality, as mentioned earlier. Studying the history of Islam - in the wider sense of a history of humanity - as well as the history of phenomena, community, organisation or government under study is important. These studies will enable the strategy scholar to project the past to the present and apply that knowledge along with knowledge of the universal laws to cyclical change. It is important throughout this process to be on the right side of history, but also to avoid over-generalisations from the past to the present or in predictions of the future. The further back we go in history, the higher the uncertainty and error in projection becomes. The Quran teaches us how people “forget” from

one century (*qarn*) to the next.

History teaches us that the victorious parties could very well be on the side of injustice and corruption, rather than the side of rectification and piety. Truth eventually prevails, according to the universal law of cyclical change, but most people will not believe, thank or reason, the Quran teaches: Corruptors, who greatly influence the writing of human history, always aim to distort people's perceptions of current and past events. This is part of the dynamics of the eternal struggle of truth against falsehood; and the Quranic stories upon reflection attest to this fact. The only exception to these distortions is the content of the Quran, which Allah ﷻ Himself guaranteed its preservation (*hifz*, 15:9) and to keep it reigning (*muhaymin*) over any other sources (5:48). It is necessary to have a complex understanding of the universal laws and lessons of history from Cycles of Reflection upon the Revelation.

In addition, the Revelation reveals that special attention must be given to: creative inventions (11:37, 18:96, 27:44), communal resilience (2:249, 3:147, 61:4), strong education (12:22, 21:79, 27:15), internal change (3:179, 8:53, 13:11), guided leadership (21:73, 28:5, 32:24), good governance (19:12, 45:16, 18:84), wars (2:251, 8:67, 22:40) and “natural disasters” or rather divine sanction (7:133, 30:41, 89:14) — in order to understand the dynamics of historical changes. The composite framework is the tool by which the strategy scholar can describe reality and imagine the future. Strategy needs to be holistic rather than partialistic, probabilistic rather than deterministic, and adaptable rather than rigid. The Prophetic example is full of lessons in this regard, especially lessons from his migration and the building of a new society in Medina. This will result in strategic management that builds toward a better future, rather than putting out fires in a crisis management style, as is the case with many Islamic organisations and movements.

It is useful, on the application level within organisations, to develop standard organisational plans, which could be called strategic plans. These usually include a vision, mission, goals, structures, budgets, bylaws, values, a study of the organisational strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats, etc. It is important not to fall into superfi-

ally in these plans, and to avoid the impact of non-Islamic ideologies that could impact their objectives, concepts, values and application. To have an impact, strategic plans have to manifest in the organisational structure, culture and more importantly leadership.

Re-envisioning Islamic scholarship according to the new fields outlined above would help overcome the shortcomings and methodological limitations of the current classifications of Islamic disciplines and Islamic knowledge in general. The new structure would hopefully empower Islamic scholarship and enable it to challenge the status quo and contribute toward a better future for Muslims and humanity.

## THE WAY FORWARD

For the Maqasid Methodology to achieve its objectives in re-orienting the Islamic scholarship towards the achievement of the higher objectives of the Revelation for humanity and the *ummah*, the methodology has to be realised. As mentioned earlier, three overlapping circles are necessary for this realisation: research, education and action. Research is necessary for generating the knowledge and ideas required for education and action; education is necessary for qualifying researchers and people of action; and action is necessary for keeping both research and education oriented towards changing the reality. These are three highly connected and overlapping circles.




CHART 13 THE THREE AREAS OF REALISATION OF THE MAQASID METHODOLOGY